#### IGNOU School of Education

### MINUTES OF THE 28<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF THE SCHOOL BOARD OF SCHOOL OF EDUCATION HELD ON 6<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2009 IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM, SOE, IGNOU, NEW DELHI.

The 28<sup>th</sup> meeting of the School Board of School of Education was held on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2009 at 11.00 a.m. in the Conference Room of SOE, Block-G, New Academic Complex, IGNOU.

The following members were present in the meeting:

0.41			Chairperson
01)	Prof. M.L. Koul	-	-
02)	Prof. Lokesh K. Verma	-	Member
03)	Prof. S.B. Arora	· · · · ·	Member
04)	Prof. Anu Aneja	-	Member
05)	Dr. Jaswant Sokhi	-	Member
06)	Prof. M.C. Sharma		Member
07)	Prof. N.K. Dash	-	Member
08)	Prof. Vibha Joshi	-	Member
09)	Prof. C. B. Sharma	-	Member
10)	Ms. Poonam Bhushan		Member
11)	Dr. Eisha Kannadi	-	Member
12)	Dr. Sutapa Bose	_	Member
13)	Dr. Vandana Singh		Member
14)	Dr. M.V. Lakshmi Reddy	_	Special Invitee
/			

Prof. Lokesh Koul, Prof. Mohd. Miyan, Dr. M.N.G. Mani, Mr. R. Rangasayee and Prof. Tribhuvan Kapur could not attend the meeting due to some prior commitments.

At the outset, the Chairperson welcomed the members and thereafter the agenda items were taken up for discussion.

### Item No. 1: To confirm the minutes of the 27<sup>th</sup> School Board Meeting of School of Education held on 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2008.

28.1 The Chairperson informed that the observations made by Prof. M.C. Sharma regarding the Agenda Item 27.1 had been taken care of. There after the minutes of the 27<sup>th</sup> School Board meeting were confirmed.

# Item No. 2: To consider and approve the name of Prof. SVS Chaudhary as External Supervisor of Ms. Ponni Iyer.

28.2 Prof. C.B. Sharma, Coordinator, Research Degree programme informed that Ms. Ponni Iyer had requested for Prof. SVS Chaudhary to be her Ph. D. Supervisor. The item was approved.

## Item No. 3: To consider (i) the admission of Ms. Rekha Yadav to Ph.D. in Education programme and (ii) Prof. D.S. Yadav (approved Guide) as her Supervisor.

28.3 Prof. C.B. Sharma informed that the candidate's research proposal and her Supervisor had been approved by the Doctoral Committee and submitted to the School Board for its approval.

The item was approved.

### Item No. 4: To consider (i) the admission of Ms. Sarla to the Ph.D. in Education programme and (ii) Dr. Waseem Ahmed Khan as her Supervisor.

28.4 Prof. C.B. Sharma informed that the candidate had revised her proposal as per the suggestions made by the Doctoral Committee and that she had requested that Dr. Waseem Ahmed Khan be her Supervisor. The item was approved.

# Item No. 5: To consider and approve an Optional Course `Integral Education' for B.Ed. programme.

28.5 The Director, SOE provided a background to the proposal and said that the item had been presented at the School Council meeting and approved by the members. The School Board approved the optional course but with the suggestion that the course structure developed for it be placed before the School Board. Prof. M.L. Koul was approved as Course Coordinator.

# Item No. 6: To consider and approve the Evaluators for the various courses of B.Ed. Programme.

28.6 After a brief discussion, the item was approved with the suggestion to take care of the names repeated in the list.

#### Item No. 7: To consider and approve the Programme Outlines and Phase-I form for 'PG Diploma in Pre-Primary Education' programme.

28.7 Dr. Sutapa Bose and Dr. Vandana Singh, Programme Coordinators presented the outlines of the programme. It was stated that the programme would cater to the need of would be/in-service pre-school teachers. The target group would comprise only Graduates and the programme would be of one year duration. This was also informed that Dr. Divakaran Nair, Regional Director, Cochin will be Programme Coordinator at Regional Centre, Cochin to look after the programme.

After detailed discussion, the members gave the following suggestions:

- The point in the concept paper that working mothers are not in a position to look after their children may be deleted.
- Assignments and theory should be in the ratio of 70:30.
- Regional Centre developing the programme should work in close coordination with the School of Education.
- The entry level qualification be lowered from Graduation to +2 level so that the qualification 'usually accepted for entry level of preschool teacher education programme is retained.
- As it was pointed out that as per the NCTE norms, any teacher education programme through distance mode is for in-service teachers. Hence, this should be kept in mind.
- Evaluation should be through grading system and use of technology suggested for evaluation may not be feasible.
- The programme would require the approval of the School Board, hence entry level qualification and course structure should be as per NCTE guidelines.

• A meeting involving the faculty of SOE may be held at IGNOU HQs so that the faculty may be present to steer the programme as per NCTE norms.

The School Board suggested that in view of the suggestions made, the programme should be presented in the School Board once again.

The Programme Coordinators informed the Board members that all the points will be discussed with the Regional centre people and the developments will be presented in the next School Board meeting.

## Item No. 8: To consider and approve the revision of all the courses of DPE programme.

28.8 The item was approved.

#### Item No. 9:To consider and approve the proposal of Credit Transfer from CPE to DPE Programme.

28.9 Prof. M.L. Koul informed that there is a request from North East Regional Centres regarding credit transfer from CPE to DPE programme and this has been approved by the SRD. Prof. Koul informed that he had suggested SRD to constitute a Committee to work out the details of the credit transfer mechanism. The item was approved.

# Item No.10:To consider and approve Phase-III form of `Certificate in Inclusive Education' programme.

28.10 It was suggested that the concepts of disability and diversity may be differentiated and while developing the content of the programme, the other similar programmes being developed and launched by other Schools/Centres may also be considered. It was also suggested that in case, the programme is offered for Certification, approval may be sought from RCI. It was further suggested that since it is a six months Certificate level programme, the load of practical component may be reduced. It was also recommended that the programme be

open to all and not restricted only to teachers. It was pointed out that the scheme of evaluation suggested does not cover the evaluation of some of the practical components, which may be worked out. With these suggestions, the item was approved.

Item No. 11: To consider and approve the Programme Structure and Phase-I form for developing Knowledge Modules Series in Higher Education Management (PGDHEM)

- 28.11 Prof. Vibha Joshi, Programme Coordinator informed that Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor had asked her to develop the Knowledge Modules. She presented a brief description of the proposed programme. She informed that the programme comprising 8 modules may be offered as MBA in Higher Education. She also informed that 4 courses (modules) of the programme are ready for editing. The Chairperson informed that the modules are independent modules and lack parity. After a detailed discussion, the following suggestions were made:
  - Entry qualification should be PG instead of Graduation as the target group comprises functionaries from higher education.
  - The details of the programme should be worked out and finalised.
  - The Chairperson expressed that the earlier idea was to develop independent Knowledge modules. But now the scenario is changed and the modules are changed into courses of a programme. It was unanimously agreed that the earlier position should be retained. There was also a suggestion that the concept of series of Knowledge Modules should be expanded further by adding more knowledge modules to it.

It was unanimously agreed that the Vice-Chancellor would be apprised by the Programme Coordinator of the observations made about this agenda item by the School Board.

Item No. 12:Any other item with the permission of the Chair.

i) To consider a panel of Senior Educationists from Delhi for assessing the Research Proposals.

The item was approved.

ii) To consider the research proposal of Mr. Tsuroshan Yanthan, RTA, EDNERU and (ii) Prof. Vibha Joshi as his Supervisor.

It was informed that the Supervisor of the candidate had informed that the suggestions made by the Doctoral Committee had been taken care of. The item was then approved.

iii) To consider (i) the admission of Mr. K.K. Soman to the Ph.D. in Education and (ii) Prof. P. Kelu as his Supervisor.

The item was approved.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chairperson.

(M.L. Koul) Chairperson, School Board, School of Education.